

**Scoring Rubric for Lyndon Organizational Request for ARPA Funding Support (45-point MAX)**

<b>Is the entity located in Lyndon?</b>	YES: ELIGIBLE	NO: INELIGIBLE FOR FUNDING		
<b>Is the entity a: nonprofit, municipality, grassroots group?</b>	YES: ELIGIBLE	NO: INELIGIBLE		
<b>Project clarity, focus, &amp; impact</b>	The project, as presented, clearly and concisely articulates its goal, and shares how it can measure its success. (5pts)	The project is somewhat clear, but clarifying questions need to be asked, and/or the goals are not measurable. (3pts)	The project is not clear, not concise, and goals are not measurable (0pts)	
	The project demonstrates strong impacts the population of Lyndon. (5pts)	The project demonstrates some impact one a population in Lyndon (3pts)	Not addressed (0pts)	
	The project specifically notes 2-3 outcomes or outputs for the project (5pts)	The project notes at least one outcome or output. (3pts)	The project does not note at least one outcome or output (0pt)	
<b>Project Readiness</b>	The project, as presented, can be completed within one year of funding. (5pts)	The project has a general timeline, with some details as to when the project milestones will be met. The project would take more than one year to complete but less than 2 years. (3pts)	No, the timeline is not clear on when the project will be completed OR the project has no defined timeline or would take over 2 years to complete. (0) pts	
<b>Project Alignment with Town guiding document</b>	Yes, the project, as presented, aligns with the Town Plan or other Town guiding document, providing reference to those documents. (5pts)	The project somewhat aligns with the Town Plan or other Town guiding document, but the linkages are weak. (3pts)	No, insufficient evidence of supporting goals from Plan. (0pts)	
<b>Project Budget</b>	YES, the project budget clearly outlines income and expenses with anticipated funding dates noted. The project budget has high anticipated impact for a low budget. (5pts)	The project budget has a scaffold income and expenses with some anticipated funding dates but there are holes or gaps. The project budget is high comparative to the anticipated impact. (3pts).	NO, the project budget is not clear, the income and expenses do not match, or the anticipated supplemental funding is unclear/unknown. The project budget exceeds the anticipated impact. (0pts)	
<b>Project leverage of funds</b>	YES, the project budget shows a leverage of ARPA funding with a 20:80 ratio or greater (5pts)	YES, the project budget shows a leverage of ARPA funding with a 25:75 ratio (3pts)	YES, the project budget shows a leverage of ARPA funding with a 50:50 ratio (1pt)	No, the project budget shows a leverage of ARPA funding with a ratio of anything less than 50:50 (0pts)
<b>Organizational Capacity</b>	The applicant demonstrates collaboration and relationships with other entities to ensure the project can meet its goals in a timely manner (5pts)		The applicant somewhat demonstrates collaboration and relationships with other entities to ensure the project can meet its goals (1pt)	There is a lack of evidence of collaboration with other entities to ensure the project meets its goals (0pts)
<b>Project Sustainability</b>	The project demonstrates a plan for the project's long-term success and viability, including plans for ongoing maintenance, future funding, or demonstrates the project producing a lasting solution (5pts)		The proposal provides some evidence of a plan for the project's long-term success and viability, but some details are unclear. (3pts)	No, the proposal does not provide a plan for the project's long-term success and viability or relies on future funding from the Town. (0pts)